

Make B2B Evidence Useful to Buyers and Their AI Assistants

Juan Beltran | Revised 2 October 2026

Clear, inspectable evidence helps a buyer evaluate you and makes your business easier for an AI-assisted search to describe accurately.

Imagine a plant manager researching a replacement service provider. The first question is not which supplier has published the most content. It is which can work with the equipment, meet the response requirement and accept the responsibilities the plant needs.

The manager might read websites, ask a colleague or use an AI assistant to compare options. In each case, vague claims make the same work harder. “End-to-end transformation” says little about the actual service. A specific capability, its conditions and a reference the buyer can inspect are more useful.

This example is illustrative. It is not a claim that agents now control all B2B buying. It describes a problem worth solving as assisted research becomes another route through which a buyer may encounter your business.

The marketing task is to make important evidence understandable and findable, while preserving the conversations that resolve a buyer's actual risk. Publishing more variations of a generic message does neither very well.

Start with the questions that qualify the offer

For the plant manager, service coverage, equipment compatibility, escalation and contract responsibilities could determine the shortlist. For a software buyer, integration, access control, support and implementation effort might matter more.

Identify those questions with the people who hear them: sales, customer success, support and technical specialists. Look at where prospects hesitate and what existing customers wish they had understood earlier. Use that material to decide which pages need work.

A useful page answers a real question with enough detail to prevent a misunderstanding. It names what is included, what depends on the customer and what needs a conversation. Where a limitation matters to suitability, hiding it until a sales call wastes time and weakens trust.

This is a better starting point than choosing an “agent-ready” platform from a feature list. The information problem should shape the technology decision. Sometimes the missing work is an accurate service page and a maintained case study, not another system.

Make the evidence inspectable

The hypothetical provider could publish a clear account of an actual engagement, with permission: the customer's problem, the service delivered, the relevant conditions and the evidence behind the result. It should separate reported outcomes from the provider's interpretation and avoid implying that the same result is guaranteed elsewhere.

If customer permission is unavailable, a worked example can still explain the method. Label it as illustrative. Do not turn it into an anonymous “client success” with invented savings. A buyer can learn from an honest example without being asked to believe an unverifiable claim.

Keep names, dates, versions and source links where they matter. A prospect needs to know whether a technical specification is current, whether a customer reference concerns the relevant scope and who can answer the next question. The same clarity reduces ambiguity for a system extracting or summarizing the page.

Readable text matters. A key condition hidden inside a graphic is harder to find, compare and quote. Images can explain a workflow, but the surrounding page should carry its important facts too.

Fix the ordinary discovery problems

Check that the intended pages are accessible, linked from relevant parts of the site and consistent about the offer. Conflicting product descriptions and outdated case figures can confuse both a human reader and an automated summary.

[Google's guidance for its AI search features](#) says ordinary search fundamentals remain relevant: supporting pages must be indexed and eligible for snippets, and important information should be available as text. It does not require a special AI schema or machine-readable file, and eligibility does not guarantee inclusion.

That guidance concerns Google's features. Other assistants have their own behavior and access conditions. There is no general markup switch that obliges every assistant to recommend a supplier.

Structured data can help describe information consistently when it matches the visible page. It cannot supply evidence the page lacks. A case-study label attached to a vague sales claim still leaves the buyer without a case.

Observe answers without inventing a new revenue metric

It can be useful to test how an assistant describes your business. Choose a small set of genuine buyer questions and record the question, tool, date, relevant settings and returned sources. Repeat the questions sufficiently to see variation, rather than treating one answer as a stable position.

Look first for material errors. Does the answer describe a service you do not offer? Does it use an outdated specification? Does it omit a qualification that changes suitability? Trace the cited source and correct the underlying page where you control it.

Keep this exercise separate from sales performance. A mention in a sample of generated answers is not market share, a qualified opportunity or proof of influence on a completed deal. It is a diagnostic observation. Connect it to buyer evidence when possible: did a prospect arrive through an identifiable source, ask about a claim or use the page during evaluation?

If attribution is incomplete, state that limitation. An uncertain channel should not become a precise return number merely because the dashboard needs a new column.

Keep a person responsible for the argument

AI can help organize source material and produce draft variations. Someone still needs to decide what the business can substantiate and why a buyer should care. That work includes original research, judgment and writing, not only checking generated output against a brand guide.

Volume can amplify a weak message. If the core offer is unclear, generating another set of pages spreads the uncertainty. Spend effort on the few pieces a buyer needs to reach a serious conversation: the relevant offer, evidence, limitations and a useful route to a person.

Human conversations then do work the page cannot finish. A technical specialist can test whether the customer's situation fits. A reference call can reveal how the provider behaves when something goes wrong. Commercial discussion can assign responsibilities that a general description cannot settle.

The website should prepare those conversations, not pretend to eliminate them.

Choose a small improvement you can evaluate

For the illustrative provider, the first investment could be one revised service page and one properly scoped case. Ask sales whether they answer recurring questions. Check whether prospects can find the needed details, and whether assistants summarize those details accurately when the pages are retrieved.

Use the findings to decide what deserves more work. A recurring omission may call for clearer copy. A technical misunderstanding may need a specialist explanation. A source-access problem may need an implementation fix. These are different causes and should not all be answered by buying a content platform.

The plant manager's decision still comes back to whether the supplier can meet the requirement and carry its share of the risk. Make that easier to assess. If AI-assisted research sends the manager to evidence that helps answer it, the content has done useful work.

Reading worksheet

Use these questions with the essay. Record your own evidence and the next decision; the examples in the essay do not supply a result for your organization.

1. Which buyer problem could improve if this marketing task were delegated?

2. Which claims, audiences and spending decisions require human approval?

3. How will we connect the work to qualified demand or a customer result?

4. What evidence would make us change or stop the experiment?

Sources and scope

An executive essay combining author judgment with the sources linked in the text. Worked examples are illustrative where explicitly labeled; no new empirical study was conducted.

The buyer is illustrative. Assistant answer samples vary and cannot establish market share, attribution or a universal optimization method. Google guidance applies to its own search features.

Google Search Central. [AI features and your website](#). Requirements and limits specific to inclusion in Google AI search features.

[Read the current article](#)